

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990)
United States Attorney

MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549)
Chief, Criminal Division

DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7359
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR No. 03-07-70173-JCS
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
CHARKON CHANSAEM,)	ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT
Defendant.)	FOR THE PRELIMINARY HEARING
)	AND EXCLUDING TIME

On June 4, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court; requested a continuance for the Preliminary Hearing; and stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from June 6, 2007 through July 10, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel. Counsel for the Government and Defendant requested that the Court refer this matter to a Magistrate Judge for a settlement conference to assist in resolution of this case. Further, counsel for the defendant has recently provided the Government with discovery. Counsel for the defendant does not believe it is in his client's best interest for the Court to hold a Preliminary Hearing within 10 days of the Initial Appearance and waives a Hearing within the time provided by Fed. R. Crim. P.

1 Rule 5.1(c). The parties represent that granting of the continuance is necessary for
2 effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18
3 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

4 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

5
6 DATED: June 7, 2007

7 /s /
8 DENISE MARIE BARTON
Assistant United States Attorney

9 DATED: June 7, 2007

10 /s /
11 JOSH COHEN
Attorney for CHARKON CHANSAEM

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Pursuant to the parties' Stipulation and for the reasons set forth above, IT IS
14 HEREBY ORDERED that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the
15 best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be
16 excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from June 6, 2007 through July 10, 2007
17 for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant
18 the requested continuance would deny counsel reasonable time necessary for effective
19 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a
20 miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

21
22
23 DATED: _____

24 _____
25 Honorable Bernard Zimmerman
26 United States Magistrate Judge
27
28